

PA Compact Rules Committee Meeting Minutes Jan 29, 2025

Name	Member Role	Voting Member	Attendance
Jamie Alley	WV Delegate	X	X
Valeska Barr	OK Delegate	X	X
Elizabeth Huntley	MN Delegate	X	X
Stephanie Loucka	OH Delegate	X	X
Catherine Marie Patterson	TN Delegate	X	Arrived 10:45 a.m
Larry Marx	UT Delegate	X	X
Robert Sanders	WI Delegate	X	X
,	Total voting members present		7/7= quorum
Marisa Courtney	Vice Chair PA Commission		X
Kathy Scarbalis	Ex-Officio – AAPA		X
Nathan Smith	OH board staff		X
Tim Terranova	Chair PA Commission		Х
Name	Non-Member Role		Attendance
Nahale Kalfas	Interim Legal Counsel		X
Jessica Thomas	Interim Executive Director		X
Carl Sims	CSG		X
Laura Monick	OH staff		X

VOTES				
Name	Agenda	Minutes with technical amendments	Adjourn	
Jamie Alley		2		
Valeska Barr	1	1		
Elizabeth Huntley	2	abstained	1	
Stephanie Loucka				
Catherine Marie Patterson			2	
Larry Marx				
Robert Sanders				
	All in favor	1 abstained	All in favor	
TOTALS	motion passes	motion passes		

Welcome

Call to order/Roll Call

6					
7	Chair Loucka calls to order the meeting at 10:06 a.m. ET.				
8	J. Thomas takes roll.				
9					
10	Review and Adopt Agenda				
11					
12	Committee reviewed the agenda; Chair Loucka called for a motion to adopt the agenda.				
13					
14	Motion:				
15	 Valeska Barr motioned that the committee adopt the agenda. 				
16	 Elizabeth Huntley seconded the motion. 				
17	 All members present voted in favor; 0 abstained; motion carried. 				
18	DAY:				
19	Minutes				
20 21	Motion:				
22	• Valeska Barr motioned to adopt minutes with technical amendment.				
23	 Jamie Alley seconded the motion. 				
24	 6 members present voted in favor; 1 abstained; motion carried. 				
25	o members present votcu in favor, i abstanteu, motion carricu.				
26	Executive Committee Update				
27	Chair Terranova gives Executive Committee update.				
28	• FSBM Grant application in the works				
29	RFI for data system will be posted Friday 1/31				
30	• Next full commission meeting likely 4/25/25 in collaboration with FSMB annual meeting				
31	Legislative Update				
32	CSG gives legislative update.				
33	• 13 states with enacted compact				
34	• 10 with filed legislation				
35					
36	Rule 2 State of Qualifying License (SQL) Process				
37	Discussion about creating parameters for selecting a state of qualifying license. What is the				
38	statement of need for this rule and the potential for creating burdensome restrictions.				
39	• Some members including AAPA representative expressed concern that many PAs would				
40	not meet these qualifiers.				
41	• Some members including Chair Loucka expressed the desire for the PA to have some				
42	nexus to the state they choose for a qualifying license.				
43	• Questions to explore while drafting Rule 2				
44	O Does the commission have the authority to create criteria?				
45	How can the rule be broad enough so people can access the compact? How can the rule be broad enough so people can access the compact?				
46	o How can the rule ensure people have some nexus to the qualifying state?				

Edit draft to lower the 25% or change it to active medical services in that state, require an

• What is the need to have criteria?

attestation from the employer

- 51 Other discussion on Rule 2
 - Redesignation vs the need to reapply for the compact if the state of qualifying licensure changes. Delete entirety of 2.2 because redesignation is not a concept, PA would reapply.
 - Reassigning privileges and expiration of privileges
 - Termination of State of Qualifying Licensure—what does voluntary termination mean
 - Utilize database for tracking NCCPA certification

565758

52

53

54

55

Draft a new rule specifically for fee, include administrative fees to commission as well as participating state fee for privilege

596061

62

63 64

65

66

67 68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78 79

Rule 3 Compact Privilege

- Discussion around FBI Background Check
 - When this would take place
 - How often this would take place
 - Burdensome to have to continually update the system Could use NCCPA data
 - Consider the bar on felonies and misdemeanors

Decision to break the rule into participation in compact and obtaining privilege to practice sections.

Education component – build education for scope of practice in each state within the data system Discussion of 3.4.C about obtaining privileges

- PA is required to follow scope and laws of each individual state.
 - o No need for PA to submit proof to data system of supervision or collaborative agreement, prescriptive authority, or jurisprudence requirements

Discussion of 3.5 Privilege cycle and continued participation

- Balance between burdens of renewal and need for renewal
- Ensure no gap with redesignation or renewal
- Option to renew privileges when the QL renews
- Discussion of where QL renewal takes place, within the data system or with the SQL, likely with the SQL

State specific issues discussed

• Example Maine – active vs active expired, need to define both

81 82 83

84

85

86

87

88

89

80

PA Renewal Process discussion- Privileges expire when QL expires

- 1. (?) Background check ongoing conversation about when this takes place
- 2. Renew Qualifying License with SQL
- 3. SQL asks about felony/misdemeanor in renewal process
- 4. SQL alerts data system of renewal
- 5. Go to compact database to renew privileges
- 6. Choose to renew privileges and/or obtain new privileges
- 7. PA attestation during for felony/misdemeanor

90 91

93

94

95

- 92 Discussion of process for state withdrawing eligibility
 - Potential accidental positive eligibility
 - Could compact or remote state challenge/inquire into the eligibility for the compact?
 - o Examples Education, misdemeanors, identity issues

96	
97	Rule 5 Compact Data System
98	Renumber as Rule 4
99	Discussion of data system
100	 Would this hold information for all PAs?
101	 What permissions would states have to the information?
102	Joint investigations may be pulled out for a separate rule
103	
104	Note from Chair Terranova: There are ongoing data system conversations in the Executive
105	Committee. Might be best to hold off approving a rule on the data system until there is more
106	information about it.
107	
108	
109	Next Steps
110	Potential Timeline for a hearing on April 25 (Not scheduled):
111	Get drafts of Rule 2 and 3 to group by Feb 17
112	Rules Committee meet Feb 24 10 A.M. ET
113	Send to Executive Committee to approve on Mar 12
114	Drafts sent out by March 25 for a hearing on April 25
115	
116	
117	Adjourn
118	Motion:
119	 Elizabeth Huntley motioned to adjourn.
120	 Marie Patterson seconded the motion.
121	 Motion carries
122	
123	Chair Loucka adjourns meeting at 3:44 p.m. ET